

# PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	N/A	N/A	N/A
2002	N/A	N/A	N/A
2003	N/A	N/A	N/A
2004			

TENTH GRADE PASSAGE OF ONE OR MORE SUBTESTS OF THE EXIT EXAM							
	Our School			High Schools with Students Like Ours			
Percent	2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003	
Passed all 3 subtests	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Passed 2 subtests	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Passed 1 subtest	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Passed no subtests	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

	Exit Exam	Passane	Fligibility	for LIFF			
	Rate by Sp		Scholar	Eligibility for LIFE Scholarships*		Graduation Rate	
All Students	n N/A	% N/A	n N/A	% N/A	n 53	% 0.0	
Gender	14// \	14// (	14// (	14//1	00	0.0	
Male	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	37	N/A	
Female	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	16	N/A	
Race or Ethnic Group							
African American	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	22	N/A	
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	
White	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	31	N/A	
Other	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	
Disability Status							
Non-speech disabilities	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	53	N/A	
Students without disabilities	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	
Migrant Status							
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	
Non-migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	
English Proficiency							
Limited English proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	
Non-LEP	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	53	N/A	
Lunch Status							
Subsidized meals	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	35	N/A	
Full-pay meals	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	18	N/A	

Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at	N/A	N/A
four-year institutions*		
Seniors who met the SAT requirement	N/A	N/A
Seniors who met the grade point average	N/A	N/A

<sup>\*</sup>Using only the SAT and grade point average requirements

SCHOOL PROFILE				
	OurSchool	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n= 221)				
Retention rate Attendance rate	22.1% 90.1%	Up from 4.2% Down from 92.3%	9.9% 94.3%	7.3% 95.5%
Eligible for gifted and talented With disabilities other than speech	0.0% 82.1%	No change Down from 85.6%	3.6% 13.4%	5.1% 12.2%
Older than usual for grade Suspended or expelled	13.6% 2.3%	Down from 14.5% Down from 2.5%	17.4% 6.0%	10.1% 2.3%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs Successful on AP/IB exams	0.0% N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	10.2% N/A
Annual dropout rate	0.0%	No change	0.9%	2.7%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	N/A	N/A	15.1%	3.2%
Enrollment in career/technology cente courses	r N/A	N/A	195	433
Students participating in worked-based experiences	N/A	N/A	21.8%	26.3%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	N/A	N/A	61.5%	74.9%
Career/technology completers placed	N/A	N/A	97.4%	99.5%
Teachers (n= 67)				
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	47.8% 88.1%	Down from 48.5% Down from 90.9%	48.2% 83.5%	51.7% 81.8%
Highly qualified teachers	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Teachers returning from previous year		Up from 87.0%	75.1%	85.1%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	92.2% \$42,325	Down from 93.8% Up 0.4%	94.4% \$39,003	95.8% \$40,303
Prof. development days/teacher	7.7 days	Up from 5.0 days	10.7 days	10.3 days
School				
Principal's years at school Student-teacher ratio	16.0 7.6 to 1	Up from 15.0 Down from 7.7 to 1	1.0 19.4 to 1	3.0 26.2 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	81.3% \$37,097	Down from 85.4% Up 20.3%	86.3% \$9,348	90.1% \$6,279
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	65.6% Good	Up from 64.3% No change	49.0% Good	57.8% Excellent
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	83.2% yes	Down from 99.0% N/A	77.8% no	87.8% yes
o. to o door outdition	you	1 1// 1	110	y 0.0

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

## **Abbreviations for Missing Data**

		•	
N/A Not Applicable	N/C Not Collected	N/R Not Reported	I/S Insufficient Sample

#### REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

The McCarthy/Teszler Staff was strongly committed during the 2002-2003 school year to provide opportunities necessary for our students with disabilities to reach their full potential. Our constant support from the Spartanburg community is unsurpassed. Our challenge each year is to provide a balanced curriculum that emphasizes not only the state standards appropriate to that age grouping, but also the skill training necessary for students' future independence when appropriate. We participated in the statewide mandated assessment program for those students in the appropriate grades.

Again this year, the staff developed activities that encouraged parental participation and involvement. We were pleased with the parental response. Several of those activities were: 1) Fall Fun Day for parents, students, and staff; 2) Freedom Aloft - celebrating our American patriotism; 3) Student awards days within each division; 4) Prom for those students 16 and above; 5) Graduation ceremony and reception; 6) Clinical Day Program within the Teszler division; and 7) greater opportunities for all students to attend inclusion activities in other schools.

As we completed spring parent conferences and IEP reviews, parents and staff were excited about the move into our new school that was specifically designed for our special population of children. This new building will provide many new opportunities for our students. Some of our programs are being reworked to allow for more appropriate services, while others are being added because of additional space and new equipment.

We are proud of the services our school provides. Our school's goal will continue to emphasize students' performance and potential.

Joette Johnson, Principal

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS						
	Teachers	Students	Parents			
Number of surveys returned	48	0	27			
Percent satisfied with learning environment	72.9%	N/R	92.3%			
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	66.7%	N/R	84.0%			
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	66.7%	N/R	96.2%			

### DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

#### DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.